RE-ENTRY POLICY STUDY COMMISSION

PLANNING DOCUMENT (THIS IS A WORKING DOCUMENT, SUBJECT TO REVISION AND UPDATE)

The Re-entry Policy Study Commission was established by Council Resolution Number 80, 2012 and later amended by Council Resolution Number 90, which expanded the membership of the Commission.

Mission

The mission of the Re-entry Study Commission is to increase public safety in Marion County by breaking the cycle of criminal activity by ex-offenders who are re-entering the community. This will be accomplished by examining, investigating and facilitating the implementation of policy and procedures related to the re-entry of ex-offenders with a focus on the economic and community impact of those measures.

Commission duties and responsibilities (defined in enacting resolution):

- To examine and investigate current policies and procedures relating to the re-entry of ex-offenders and the economic and community impact of reducing recidivism in Marion County
- To hold public hearings and take public input,
- To report to the Council findings and recommendations for improvement.

Commission Members (as defined in enacting resolutions)

The Commission will consist of 12 members, as follows:

- Mary Moriarty Adams, Chair of the Council's Public Safety and Criminal Justice Committee and Chair of the Commission
- 2. Vop Osili, Member of the Council's Metropolitan and Economic Development Committee
- 3. Will Gooden, Member of the minority caucus of the Council
- 4. Andy Fogle, Deputy Prosecuting Attorney, Marion County Prosecutor's Office
- 5. Jose Salinas, Superior Court Judge (John Alt, proxy)
- 6. Valerie Washington, Deputy Director, Department of Public Safety
- 7. Angela Smith Jones, Director of Public Policy, Indianapolis Chamber of Commerce
- 8. Mike Lloyd, A member of the Marion County Re-entry Coalition
- 9. Willie Jenkins, Re-entry Administrator, Office of the Mayor
- 10. Rhiannon Edwards. PACE
- 11. Robert Ohlemiller, Program Director, Marion County Sheriff's Office
- 12. Joyce Dabner, Coordinator, Starting Over

<u>Ex-Offender Liaisons</u> Two liaisons will serve as non-voting advisers to the Commission, providing guidance based on their experiences as ex-offenders and successful re-entrants.

- 1. Shawn Hendricks, Recycle Force
- 2. Melissa Benton, John H. Boner Center

Staff

Fred Biesecker, General Counsel (fred.biesecker@indy.gov, 317.327.4232)

Hope Tribble, CFO and Policy Analyst (hope.tribble@indy.gov, 317.327.4246)

Leslie Williams, Administrative Assistant (leslie.williams@indy.gov, 317.327.4238)

Revision Date: 1/7/2013 3:05 PM Page 1 of 8

RE-ENTRY POLICY STUDY COMMISSION

PLANNING DOCUMENT (THIS IS A WORKING DOCUMENT, SUBJECT TO REVISION AND UPDATE)

Partners

The Commission has identified the following organizations as partners in the work to meet its mission. This list is subject to and expected to expand as additional partners are identified.

American Institute of Research

Bethlehem House

Community Solutions Inc.

Craine House

Drug Free Marion County Fathers and Families

Goodwill

Homeless and Re-entry Helpers

HOPE (Helping Others Prosper Economically)

Team

Indiana Department of Correction

Indiana Department of Correction – Probation

Indiana Department of Workforce Development

Indianapolis-Marion County Council

Indy Chamber Indy Reads

Indiana University School of Public and

Environmental Affairs

Marion County Community Corrections

Marion County Jail

Marion County Probation

Marion County Prosecutor's Office Marion County Public Defender Agency Marion County Re-entry and Drug Court Marion County Re-entry Coalition

Marion County Re-entry Coalition
Marion County Sheriff's Department

Mayor's Office of Re-entry

Neighborhood Christian Legal Center

PAČE

Recycle Force

State of Indiana- Access to Recovery

Step Up, Inc. Trusted Mentors United Way

Use What You've Got Prison Ministries

Volunteers of America

General Operating Guidelines

- After its initial meeting, the Commission shall meet upon the call of the chair or a majority of its members
- Seven members of the Commission shall constitute a quorum
- Commission members may designate a proxy to participate in the Commission meetings
- Any reports of the Commission shall only be approved by the vote of seven members
- Any vacancy in the Commission shall be filled in the same manner in which the original appointment was made
- The Commission shall meet and begin its operations as soon as practical.
- In general, the meetings will be held every two weeks in the City-County Building
- Two meetings will be held in the community, one at Genesis Plaza (28th and Keystone Avenue), hosted by PACE (Public Advocates in Community Re-entry), and the second in the offices of Recycle Force (10th and Mass), which employs ex-offenders. These meeting locations are subject to confirmation.
- General Commission Time Line
 - o Meetings from November, 2012 Early March, 2013
 - Final report by March 31, 2013
 - o The timeline will be amended if the Commission needs additional time to complete its work

Meeting Schedule (an expanded listing is provided at the end of the document):

- 1. Tuesday, November 20, 2012, 5:30 pm, City-County Building, Room 260
- 2. Thursday, December 13, 2012, 5:00 pm, City-County Building, Room 107
- 3. Tuesday, January 8, 2013, 5:30 pm, City-County Building, Room 260
- 4. Thursday, January 17, 2013, 5:30 pm, PACE, Genesis Plaza, 2855 North Keystone Avenue, Ste, 218, Indianapolis IN 46218
- 5. Thursday, January 31, 2013, 5:30 pm, City-County Building, Room 260
- 6. Wednesday, February 6, 2013, 5:30 pm, City-County Building, Room 260
- 7. Thursday, February 28, 2013, 5:30 pm, Recycle Force, 1125 Brookside Ave Suite D12 (Formerly The Switzer Building in the Windsor Park neighborhood)
- 8. Thursday, March 14, 2013, 5:30 pm, City-County Building Room, Room 260
- 9. Thursday, March 21,2013, 5:00 pm, Location to be determine

Revision Date: 1/7/2013 3:05 PM Page 2 of 8

RE-ENTRY POLICY STUDY COMMISSION

PLANNING DOCUMENT (THIS IS A WORKING DOCUMENT, SUBJECT TO REVISION AND UPDATE)

Powers and Duties of the Commission (as defined in the enacting ordinance)

The Commission shall review and make recommendations on policies and procedures relating to the reentry of ex-offenders into Marion County and the economic and community impact to Marion County of reducing recidivism, including, but not limited to, the following:

- 1. Review current practices surrounding offender sentencing, incarceration, release, and re-integration into the county
- 2. Review sentencing practices/guidelines and their role in supporting or crippling successful reintegration;
- 3. Review costs associated with the processing, prosecution, incarceration, release, probation, and community supervision of the offender, and determine how the funds are utilized and their efficiency and effectiveness as measured by the successful re-integration of the re-entrant population;
- 4. Review sources of payment of these costs and how they are utilized;
- 5. Create community goals/targets for successful re-integration of re-entrants into the community and study the potential impact on the city's economic development;
- 6. Review national best practices for successful re-integration, including use of public funds utilized in the process of prosecution, sentencing, incarceration, and release of offenders;
- 7. Review the service provider entities which have been most successful in lowering recidivism rates and recommending means of streamlining and possibly eliminating those which have not;
- 8. Analyze economic cost/benefit to city/county of incorporating any new policies;
- 9. Review current barriers to re-entrant employment, housing, and other necessities;
- 10. Review best practices to encourage more private sector employers to review their hiring and screening policies, and provide more non-discriminatory hiring opportunities;
- 11. Review and analyze our supportive services (housing, workforce development, etc.) and ways to improve their role in successful re-integration; and
- 12. Establish a periodic review of the county's recidivism rate and create a method of measuring and tracking successful performance and re-integration of the re-entrants.

Policy and Operating Guidelines

- 1. Guidelines for presentations
 - a. All presenters will be asked to present from a PowerPoint presentation, generally organized in the following manner (which may be changed as appropriate for specific content):
 - b. Introduction and key concepts, including definitions and acronyms
 - c. Problem statement, with specific application to the issues, demographics and challenges of Marion County
 - d. Policy implications, including economic impact
 - e. Best practices, particularly those that are applicable to Marion County, including environment and demographics
 - f. Specific recommendations for Marion County, including changes in legal and/or practical policy. Recommendations must include factors for evaluating the outcomes and successes of implementing the policy. How will we know that the implemented recommendations are successful and effective?
 - g. Provide a follow up report, 5 pages maximum, that provides narrative for the Commission's final report and makes specific recommendations for Marion County policy.

2. Commission Operations and Policy Discussion

a. The Commission Chair will call for consensus and find seek to identify common ground on policies, recommendations and issues as the commission receives and processes presentations.

Revision Date: Tuesday, 12/28/2012 4:15 PM Page 3 of 8

RE-ENTRY POLICY STUDY COMMISSION

PLANNING DOCUMENT (THIS IS A WORKING DOCUMENT, SUBJECT TO REVISION AND UPDATE)

- b. If the commission cannot reach consensus on particular issues, they will be placed on a pending issues list and revisited periodically with an objective to reach a conclusion.
- c. The Commission Chair will do a recap and review of subject matter and policy discussion at each meeting.
- d. Commission members are free and highly encouraged to ask questions and participate in dialogue with other Commission members, presenters and the public.
- e. To ensure the best outcomes possible, the Commission will rely upon Commission members' expertise and experience. Commission members are expected to provide input, information and clarification whenever a topic of personal interest or area of expertise arises.
- f. To ensure the greatest level of engagement among Commission members, presenters and the public will be coordinated and all participants are asked to adhere to the rules of engagement that are guided by:
 - Courtesy
 - Openness to and respect for everyone's ideas
 - Open dialogue
 - Freedom to ask questions
- g. The Commission's final report will include draft proposals for legislation, if required, to effect the Commission's policy recommendations.
- h. The Commission will hear public comments at each meeting. Testimony and comments will be subject to time limits if needed to manage the length of the meeting and ensure that everyone has an opportunity to speak.
- i. All documents and presentations to the Commission will be posted as soon as possible to the Commission web page:
 - http://www.indy.gov/eGov/Council/Committees/Pages/Re-Entry-Study-Commission.aspx

Revision Date: Tuesday, 12/28/2012 4:15 PM Page 4 of 8

Prior to each session, subject experts from the community would be convened to discuss the issue and prepare the content for the Commission meeting.

Session	Date/Time	Location	Topic	Presenters/Partners
#				
1	Tuesday, 11/20/12 5:30 pm	City-County Building, Room 260 200 East Washington Street Indianapolis, IN 46204	Introduction and Background Purpose of the Commission – what does success look like? Overview of Re-entry in Marion County Review of the Data – Lena Hackett Identify barriers to success What other data would the commission still like to see? Recidivism rates Cost of recidivism Highlight unique attributes about Marion County Comparison to peer cities, other urban areas Data on ex-offenders by type of crime No data on jail recidivism. Ask Marion County Sheriff's Department if there is any available (Lena Hackett) "Flow chart" of process for re-entry – Andy Fogle, Bob Ohlemiller and Willie Jenkins Identification of public and private partners across the continuum of services for ex-offenders (United Way, private funders, etc.) What are key areas to work on/address for a person's successful re-entry Causes of recidivism Definition of re-entry Scope of the work – Will be based on the risk assessment and geared toward adult ex-offenders Definition of recidivism	Marion County Reentry Coalition (MCRC) members
2	Thursday, 12/13/12 5:00 pm	City-County Building, Room 107 200 East Washington Street Indianapolis, IN 46204	 Economic impact of recidivism What is the economic impact to city and state of the current practices? – cost/benefit analysis? How is success measured in other communities? What are the before/after numbers in other communities which have successfully addressed this issue? What are the policy implications? What strategies do we want to pursue? 	Roger Jarjoura

Revision Date: 1/7/2013 2:56 PM

Prior to each session, subject experts from the community would be convened to discuss the issue and prepare the content for the Commission meeting.

Session	Date/Time	Location	Topic	Presenters/Partners
#				
3	1/8/13 5:30 pm	City-County Building, Room 260 200 East Washington Street Indianapolis, IN 46204	Uses of time during incarceration, including DOC, Marion County Jail, Jail 2 (Medium Security operated by CCA), and Community Corrections (Duval Center, Volunteers of America Brandon Hall, Theodora House – Women's Work Release) • What practices are in place to add knowledge/ skills during time of incarceration? • What are the costs associated with this? • What are the policy implications for city and state? • What strategies do we want to pursue?	Mike Lloyd, Indiana Department of Correction (INDOC); Bob Ohlemiller, Marion County Jail; Lucia Sheehan, Indy Reads; John Dieter, Marion County Community Corrections –; Mary Leffler, Theodora House; Roger Jarjoura, American Institutes for Research
4	1/17/13 5:30 pm	PACE (Public Advocates in Community Re-entry) Genesis Plaza 2855 North Keystone Avenue Suite 110 Indianapolis, IN 46218 Meeting will be held in the Conference Room – Follow Posted Signs	 Wrap Around Services (Case Management) What happens within the system to connect people to services/supports when they leave incarceration? What is transitional process within DOC? Mentoring and other support services (need to discuss efficacy) Rapid Response Program What resources are available? What are the effective best practices from other communities? What is currently considered the "transitional processes" for those leaving IDOC and when does that process begin? Is it uniform for men, women, across the State, etc. What are the policy implications? What strategies do we want to pursue? 	Re-entry Court; Community Centers; Step-Up; PACE; Christine Kerl, Marion County Probation
5	1/31/13 5:30 pm	City-County Building, Room 260 200 East Washington Street Indianapolis, IN 46204	 Health, Mental Health and Addiction Services What are the barriers to accessing services? What resources are available? What are the effective best practices from other communities? a) Identify current medical and Rx assistance service providers/options, b) What is the current situation/opportunities for Veteran's who are incarcerated 	Community mental health centers (Midtown, Gallahue, Adult and Child); Reentry/Drug Court; Mental Health America; Drug Free Marion County; Communitybased providers, Community Health Centers

Revision Date: 1/7/2013 2:56 PM

Prior to each session, subject experts from the community would be convened to discuss the issue and prepare the content for the Commission meeting.

Session	Date/Time	Location	Торіс	Presenters/Partners
#	2/6/2012	City County Puilding Poors 200	or have been released and have criminal histories? • What are the policy implications? • What strategies do we want to pursue?	Indiana nalis Hausina
6	2/6/2013 5:30 pm	City-County Building, Room 260 200 East Washington Street Indianapolis, IN 46204	 What are the barriers to accessing housing? What resources are available? What are effective best practices from other communities? a) Which local communities might consider transitional housing units in their neighborhoods? b) What are the legal boundaries currently regarding opportunities for housing and persons with felony convictions? What are the policy implications? What strategies do we want to pursue? 	Indianapolis Housing Authority; DMD; Community-based housing providers
7	2/28/13 5:30 pm	Recycle Force 1125 Brookside Ave., Suite D12 Indianapolis, IN 46202	 Employment (off-site meeting) What are the barriers to accessing employment? What resources are available? What are the effective best practices from other communities? a) What State and/or Federal incentives currently exist for employers to hire individuals with criminal histories, b) In lieu of immediate employment, what educational (vocational or otherwise) training programs exist to better prepare and job ready someone with a criminal history? c) For what types of grants (if any) does a person with a felony conviction qualify and apply for? What are the policy implications? What strategies do we want to pursue? 	Employ Indy; Chamber of Commerce; Community- based employment providers; Ivy Tech/IUPUI

Revision Date: 1/7/2013 2:56 PM Page 7 of 8

Prior to each session, subject experts from the community would be convened to discuss the issue and prepare the content for the Commission meeting.

Session	Date/Time	Location	Topic	Presenters/Partners
# 8	3/14/13 5:30 pm	City-County Building, Room 260 200 East Washington Street Indianapolis, IN 46204	Alternative sentencing and other non-conventional practices (Offsite meeting) What results have been achieved in other communities which focus on addressing the issues most related to rearrests and recidivism? What guidelines are utilized in sentencing? What are the policy implications? What strategies do we want to pursue? Problem Solving Courts – Certified by Indiana Judicial Center Alternative methods to funding re-entry and wrap-around services What are the roles of Social Impact Bonds and Human Capital Performance Bonds? What are the policy implications? What strategies do we want to pursue?	Marion County Prosecutor's Office; Marion Superior Court Dep. Mayor of Econ. Development; Bond Bank Employ Indy
9	3/21/13 5 – 9 pm	To Be Determined	Facilitated Working Session to identify and set priorities of policy recommendations and provisions of the Commission's final report.	Commissioners and Facilitator

Revision Date: 1/7/2013 2:56 PM Page 8 of 8